Introduction to the Project: the approach to learning

Anne Edwards

anne.edwards@education.ox.ac.uk





Outline of the Presentation

- The project in TLRP
- The policy background
- Learning challenges identified in LIW
- Professional learning
- Organisational learning
- Some of the research questions

Learning in and for Interagency Working (LIW)

TLRP Phase III

Professional learning

 Drawing on Cultural Historical Activity Theory (CHAT) understandings of learning to look at how practitioners learn a new way of working

The Dynamic Nature of Social Exclusion

- 1990s OECD discussions view of child as 'at risk' of being unable to contribute to society
- Social exclusion is disconnection from experiencing and contributing to what society offers
- Social exclusion is a dynamic: vulnerability results from interacting aspects of a child's life
- Preventing social exclusion is to disrupt a child's trajectory of vulnerability – e.g. putting in protective factors

Early Intervention Revisited

- PAT 12 Report on Young People (Home Office, 2000)
- Every Child Matters (2003); Children Act (2004)
- Extended schools programme
- Treasury-DfES Policy Review (Jan, 2007)

Learning Challenges for Practitioners

Include:

- Recognising how other practitioners interpret children's trajectories and seeing increased complexity
- Recognising how other professionals respond to their interpretations
- Knowing how to work with other professionals while respecting their expertise
- Knowing how to work outside 'the safety of their institutional shelters'

Learning Challenges for Organisations

Include:

- Enabling people to collaborate across institutional boundaries
- Enabling them to work responsively with other practitioners and with children and families

Approaches to Learning

Learning as acquisition and application

Learning as participation in social practices

 Learning as transformation – of self and of world (the approach taken in LIW)

Learning as Transformation

- Learning involves internalising the ideas that are culturally valued and externalising them
- We are shaped by our cultures but also shape them by our actions on them
- As we are shaped by and shape our worlds both we and they are changed

Learning as Interpretation and Response

- Acting on our worlds involves interpreting e.g. a child's trajectory and responding to that interpretation
- In interagency work to prevent social exclusion it also involves recognising that other professionals will interpret that trajectory differently and will respond to it differently
- Practitioners learn from others interpretations: giving expanded interpretations of the trajectory

Learning and Motivation

- How e.g. a child's trajectory is interpreted reveals a lot about what is valued in a professional culture
- An interpretation elicits professional responses which reveal what is permitted in an organisation
- Professional action needs to be examined within an analysis of the organisation in which it occurs

Interagency work as Resourceful Practice

- Not easy to follow organisational custom and practice when working responsively with other professionals
- Need to be able to recognise what others offer and how to access it in order to accomplish their work
- Need to be able to make one's own expertise explicit so others can recognise it and draw on it in their work

Some More Challenges in Working with other Practitioners

- We were looking at people who work across organisational boundaries doing new forms of work which were not sustained by existing practices
- They needed to see themselves as parts of local systems of distributed expertise
- Children's trajectories change and practitioners had to follow them, work responsively with the child and each other

Learning in New Forms of Work

- Professional learning is evidenced in how we use professionally valued ideas in our professional actions
- But interagency work is a new form of work. What ideas are being developed in this new form of practice?
- In LIW we elicited 'everyday' understandings of practices and helped practitioners to move towards what Vygotsky called 'scientific concepts'

What is being Learnt?

- New practices were emerging which reflect this newer form of distributed expertise and responsive action
- They were presented to us as everyday understandings e.g. I can feel quite isolated at times
- We worked with them to develop concepts that could be used to take forward practice e.g. knowing how to know who

Professional Learning in and across Organisations as Activity Systems

- We looked at organisations such as multiprofessional teams or local networks of practitioners as systems which were working on common tasks e.g. disrupting a child's trajectory of exclusion
- We focused on what practitioners did as they worked on these tasks; what ideas were revealed in their actions; and what contradictions were revealed in their organisations as they did this work

Organisational Learning: the final three case studies

- A new multi-professional team that was learning to work together
- A loosely coupled team working with looked after children
- A boundary between an extended school and the reconfiguring children services in a local authority

Organisational learning: expanding professional understandings in teams

 Multi-professional teams may lead to greater professional understanding of the complexities of children's trajectories

 Those new understandings are likely to raise questions about e.g. current custom and practice i.e. the implicit rules in the team

Organisational learning: expanding professional understandings in more distributed systems

 Organisations are traditionally inward looking, sustaining their practices and safeguarding their practitioners

 Organisational boundaries are risky places for practitioners who are learning from other professions – e.g. can they act on their own organisations and shape them?

Two of the Research Questions

- What are professionals learning when they do interagency work?
- What forms of interpersonal and organisational practice are associated with this learning?

Five Stages of LIW Project

Stage One

Theoretical Development
January - June 2004

Systematic Review and clarification of conceptual framework

Stage Two

Analysing the National Situation
June - December 2004
Identify local authority cases

Stage Three

Refine Model Through Intervention in Two Settings
January - September 2005
Development of Knowledge Tools and Preliminary Outcomes

Stage Four

Intervention Study in Three Local Authorities
October 2005 - June 2007
Testing of Feasibility of Models and Tools

Stage Five

Examining the Outcomes in a Broader Context July - December 2007 Knowledge Sharing